

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/804,975		MUELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Alvin J Stewart		3738	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alvin J Stewart. (3)_____.

(2) John J. Cunniff. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: No.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative called the Examiner in order to clarify if the Applicant's representative needs to file new formal drawings. The Examiner object to the drawings in March 20, 2003 and accepted the changes made in the amendment filed on June 17, 2003. In PTOL-37 (Notice of Allowability) filed on January 26, 2005, the Examiner made a confusing statement disclosing in one box that the drawings were accepted and at the same time check another box disclosing that replacement sheets are need it. Therefore, the Examiner is sending a second notice of allowability in order to clarify that the Office needs new formal drawings disclosing the changes made in the amendment filed on June 17, 2003.